Ric 3/00060

*Approved For Release 2005/06/07 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000300070022-0

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON, D.C.

REPLY TO ATTN OF:

AFNINB

SUBJECT:

USIB File and Program Catalog System (FPCS) Reporting Manual

A 7 007 1008

Chairman
Committee on Documentation
U.S. Intelligence Board
2430 E Street, N.W.
Washington 25, D.C.

- 1. Reference CODIB-D-111/1.9/8 dated 6 October 1966, same subject as above. No comments are offered to changes stipulated in paragraph 2, and the proposed Statistical and Evaluation Questionnaire except the following:
- a. Paragraph 1., "Reporting Activity". This office would have little to contribute without inputs from the Air Force IDHS elements. DIA may wish to directly query the U&S Commands and their components and consolidate the statistics and comments into a total DIA report. USAF, then, would be left with inputs from "residual" Air Force units such as FTD and ACIC.

b. When DIA desires are determined, it is recommended that the CODIB clarify the distribution intent.

CHARLET I. MODIEAU

Colonel, USAF

Office, Asmistant Chief of

Staff. Intelligence

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION ©SAPPProved-For Release 2005/06/07 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000300070022-0 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

	10
 	A COURTS

CODIB Support Staff

DATE:

1 November 1966

STATINTL ATTN

FROM NSA Member, Task Team IX

SUBJECT:

Comments on USIB File and Program Catalog System Statistical and

Evaluation Questionnaire

- 1. Reference is made to CODIB-D-111/1.9/8, dated 6 October 1966.
- Comments on the draft questionnaire for evaluation of the USIB FPCS were requested in the reference. Our comments are contained in the following paragraphs.
- 3. Item 2 on the questionnaire indicates four reporting periods during the year, February, May, August, and September. We understood that there was to be only one review and evaluation at the end of a year of operation. We therefore suggest that the four months on the form be deleted.
- 4. Items 3c and 4c on the questionnaire appear to be more detailed than necessary, and we suggest that each one be changed to read: "Total man-hours of preparation time..." and that the remainder of 3c and 4c be eliminated.
- Item 5 of the questionnaire would be more significant and specific if changed to read: "List and describe instances in which the catalogs published under the File and Program Catalog System have been used to assist in the acquisition of a file or program. Identify any cost savings derived from such acquisition. Also, describe the intelligence significance of any time saved, as a result of the use of such file or program."

	6.	Ιf	the	above	changes	are	made	to	the	draft.	NSA	concurs	in	its use	for
evalu	atio	on 1	purp	oses.						/				zob; abc	101

Member, Task Team IX

STAT

